	Page IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
!	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
	THE SCO GROUP, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,			
	Plaintiff,			
	VS. Civil Action File No. CV-S-04-0237-RCJ-LJL			
	AUTOZONE, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION,			
	Defendant.			
•	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BOB CELMER			
	MAY 9, 2005			
-				
	ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION			
	KORIAN NEAL, RPR, CCR 100 North Main Building, The Lobby			
	Memphis, Tennessee 38103 (9 01) 52 3 -8974			

1		1	
	Page .	2	Page 4
	1 The videotaped deposition of BOB CELMER,	1	
	2 taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, pursuant to Notice,] 2	
	3 on May 9, 2005, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m.,	3	,
	4 in the law offices of Baker, Donelson, Bearman &	4	<i>:</i>
!	·	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Į		5	<u> </u>
	6 Tennessee.	6	
1	7 This deposition is taken in accordance	7	
1	8 with the terms and provisions of the Federal Rules of	8	2
'	9 Civil Procedure.	9	
1	O Ali forms and formalities are waived, and	10	35 Response to Supplemental Interrogatory56
1	 objections alone as to relevancy, materiality and 	11	36 Supplemental Information68
1	2 competency are reserved, to be presented at or before	12	37 USB Hard Drive Information106
1	3 the hearing. Objections as to the form of the	13	38 Groklaw Posting153
1.	4 question must be made at the time of the taking of the	14	
1	5 deposition. The signature of the witness is not	115	
1	·	16	
1		17	
18		18	
119		19	
20		20	
		21	
21			
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	463
25		25	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE163
			0
,	Page 3	1	Page 5 VIDEO SPECIALIST: Today is May 9th,
į	For Premitiff: DAVID STONE, ESQ.	2	2005, 9:05. Location is Baker, Donelson, Bearman &
3	CHRIS IANNICELLI, FSQ. Botes, Schiber & Flexner, LLP	3	Caldwell, 165 Madison Avenue in Memphis, Tennessee.
d	Attorneys at Law 150 JFK Parkway	4	My name is Will Smith, certified video specialist with
-	Suite 100 Short Hills, New Jersey 07078	Į.	•
5			Alpha Lagal Productions, located in the Johny of the
	(973) 218-1111	5	Alpha Legal Productions, located in the lobby of the
Ü	KEVIN MCBRIDE, ESQ.	6	100 North Main Building in Memphis.
i i	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue	6 7	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus
, , 8	KEVIN MCBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401	6 7 8	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our depunent today is Mr. Bob Celmer.
,	KEVIN McBRIDE, ESQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900	6 7 8 9	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our depunent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's
у 8 9	KEVIN MCBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401	6 7 8 9	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present
9 9 8	KEVIN MCBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Countrie 355 South 520 West	6 7 8 9 10	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time.
у 8 9	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Sonta Monica, California 90401 (710) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Utah 84042	6 7 8 9 10 11	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris
9 10 11 12	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITIS, EMÇ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Tannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the
9 9 10	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, (Rah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our depunent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Tannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group.
8 9 10 11, 12, 13	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Soute 100 Lindon, tRah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Tannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, IRah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, IRah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtine Street	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KEVIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Councel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Urah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Aliston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	KEVIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, trah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtiree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 881-7000	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	KEVIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Oxean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, IRah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston 8 Brd, LLP Attorneys at Law One Attantic Center 1201 West Peachtine Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. EALLOU, ESQ. JOHN DU901S	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	KEVIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Councel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Urah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Aliston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtire Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. EALLOU, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group. MR. STEWART: David Stewart and Doug
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KEVIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monca, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Country 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Urah 84042 (801) 765-4999 Por Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Aliston 8 Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtire Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. EALLOU, ESQ. JOHN DUBOIS WILL SMERT, VIDCO SPECIALIST	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group. MR. STEWART: David Stewart and Doug Bridges, Alston & Bird for the defendant, AutoZone.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Itah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtire Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. EALLOU, ESQ. JOHN DUBOLS WILL SMORT, VIDCO SPECIALIST COURT REPORTING FIRM: ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION KONAN New, RPK, CCR	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group. MR. STEWART: David Stewart and Doug Bridges, Alston & Bird for the defendant, AutoZone. MS. BALLOU: Rebecca Ballou from
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KEYIN McBRIDF, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Drah 84042 (801) 765-4999 For Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtire Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. BALLOU, ESQ. JOHN DUBOLS WILL SMERT, VIDCO SPECIALIST COURT REPORTING FIRM: ALPHA EFPORTING CORPORATION Konan New, RPK, CCR 100 North Main Street Tine Lobby	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our deponent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group. MR. STEWART: David Stewart and Doug Bridges, Alsten & Bird for the defendant, AutoZone. MS. BALLOU: Rebecca Ballou from AutoZone. MR. CELMER: Bob Celmer, senior
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KEVIN McBRIDE, CSQ. 1299 Ocean Avenue Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 393-1080 RYAN TIBBITTS, ESQ SCO General Counsel 355 South 520 West Suite 100 Lindon, Itah 84042 (801) 765-4999 Por Defendant: DOUGLAS J. STEWART, ESQ. DOUGLAS BRIDGES, ESQ Alston & Bird, LLP Attorneys at Law One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtiree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 831-7000 ALSO PRESENT: REBECCA W. EALLOU, ESQ. JOHN DUBOIS WILL SMERT, VIDCO SPECIALIST COURT REPORTING FIRM: ALPHA REPORTING CORPORATION Korian Meds, RPK, CCR 100 North Main Street	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	100 North Main Building in Memphis. This case is entitled The SCO Group, Inc. versus AutoZone, Inc. Our depunent today is Mr. Bob Celmer. This video deposition is requested by the plaintiff's counsel, Mr. David Stone. Will counsel and all present please identify themselves for the record at this time. MR. STONE: David Stone and Chris Iannicelli from Boies, Schiller & Flexner for the plaintiff, SCO Group. MR. McBRIDE: Kevin McBride for the plaintiff. MR. TIBBITTS: Ryan Tibbitts, general counsel, and John DuBois, both from the SCO Group. MR. STEWART: David Stewart and Doug Bridges, Alsten & Bird for the defendant, AutoZone. MS. BALLOU: Rebecca Ballou from AutoZone.

	Page 70	<u> </u>	Page 77
1		1	NO. 0777114 077 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
2	Q. Other than Spirit and Wrangler, did you find	2	MR. STONE: Well, let's start with the
3	COFF files on any of the other machines in your	3	first one.
4	headquarters?	4	Q. (BY MR. STONE) Is it currently being used
5	A. Other than Spirit or Wrangler, I really don't	5	for any purpose?
6	remember specifically where we found COFF files. Seems	6	A. Yes, it is.
7		7	Q. What is it used for?
8		8	A. It is still our source code repository.
9	right now.	9	Q. So this is the source code that you wrote
10	Q. If you found them on other machines, aid you	10	during the time that you were licensing the OpenServer
11	produce discovery to us which would allow us to	11	software that you used to compile binaries to work on
12	determine what the files were and what machines you	12	the OpenServer software stored on the Spirit server. Is
13	found them on?	13	that right?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Am I right that Spirit and Wrangler were	15	Q And then there's additional source code you've
15	machines that you used when you when AutoZone made	16	written since that time since you migrated to Linux? Is
17	the migration to Linux?	17	that right?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Arki am I correct that what you did is you	19	Q. Is that yes?
20	you had the Spirit machine was running OpenServer and	20	A. Yes.
21	the Wrangler machine was running Linux?	21	Q. 1s that also stored on the Spirit server?
22	A. Right.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And that Mr. Greer told us and that's why	23	Q. And is the Wrangler machine currently being
24	I'm saying it you can tell me if you understand that	24	used?
25	to be the case that you were running these two	25	A. Yes, it is.
		 	
	Page 71	Į.	Page 73
1	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the	i	Q. What is it being used for?
1 2	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer	1 2	Q. What is it being used for?A. It is still a Linux development machine.
	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right?	1 2 3	Q. What is it being used for?A. It is still a Linux development machine.Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine.
2 3 4	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which	1 2 3 4	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you
2 3 4 5	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the	1 2 3 4 5	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially?
2 3 4 5 6	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine. Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine. Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till — to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 I1 12 13 14	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till — to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 I1 12 13 14 15	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine. Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till — to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 I1 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those machines. Correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 I1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does. Q. And is it still used for any purpose?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those machines. Correct? A. That's right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does. Q. And is it still used for any purpose? A. It doesn't get much use. But the files that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine. Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those machines. Correct? A. That's right. Q. And I'lf get into it in the letter. But you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 I1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does. Q. And is it still used for any purpose? A. It doesn't get much use. But the files that I made copies of before deleting them from our stores are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines tifl — to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those machines. Correct? A. That's right. Q. And I'lf get into it in the letter. But you found that. Correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does. Q. And is it still used for any purpose? A. It doesn't get much use. But the files that I made copies of before deleting them from our stores are housed on Vision. And it probably gets occasional use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	machines simultaneously and using them to help port the source code that had been written for the OpenServer system to the Linux system. Is that right? A. Wrangler was the development machine on which we did compiles and editing of source. Spirit was the source code repository. So we would have checked code out of the repository from Spirit on to Wrangler, made our changes on Wrangler, and then put those changed files back on to Spirit to safe keep source. Q. And Spirit also had SCO licensed code on it, as well. Correct? It didn't just have your source code? A. It was an OpenServer machine, Yes. Q. Right. And you kept those machines till — to the date of filing of this lawsuit. And when you looked as those machines, you found that there were COFF files that that been compiled for OpenServer on both those machines. Correct? A. That's right. Q. And I'lf get into it in the letter. But you found that. Correct? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What is it being used for? A. It is still a Linux development machine. Q. So was it used as a Linux development machine from the time that you first started using it when you began the porting process until this date, essentially? A. Yes. Q. Have you heard of a machine called Vision? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what that is. A. It was the development machine for SCO development. Q. So that was the equivalent of Wrangler for Linux? Is that right? A. That's right. It was the machine that developers used while we were using SCO. Q. And does Vision still exist? A. Yes, it does. Q. And is it still used for any purpose? A. It doesn't get much use. But the files that I made copies of before deleting them from our stores are housed on Vision. And it probably gets occasional use but not much.

Page 74 Page 76 process because we were still using SCO in our stores. gone, and we went back through the Linux servers in the 2 And so we were still doing development on that machine. 2 corporate offices, also. 3 Q. Did you look at the Vision machine - I guess Q. Okay, All right. Well let's just look at the you're telling me the Vision machine had the OpenServer 4 document and go from there. It says in the second 5 operating system on it, then? paragraph under the word store servers. Do you see A. That's right. б that? 6 Q. So it had SCO license code on it, as well? 7 A. Yes, O. It says, On October 19th, 20th, and 21, 8 9 Q. And have you produced to us whatever code you AutoZone sent the release of its software to its store found on that Vision machine? Do you know? servers that updated the store servers to replace 9 COFF 10 A. I doubt it. files with Linux files and to delete 19 unused COFF 1 I 11 12 Q. Okay. So the code that you produced to us is 12 files. Do you see that? the only code that you found on Linux server machines A. Yes. 13 13 14 and on Spirit. Is that right? 14 So does this refresh your recollection that there were 9 COFF files that were used up until that 15 A. That's right. 15 O. All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit 36. date in the store servers and that there were 19 files 16 17 You recall that after the previous exhibits we looked 17 that you believed were unused? 18 MR. STEWART: Object to form. You can 13 at, you went back and you looked further at what OpenServer compiled programs might be in the Linux 19 19 answer. 20 A. There were 9 COFF files, which -- let me start 20 operating system at AutoZone? at the other side. There were 19 that we were never 21 A. Say that again. going to use. They were just useless files. There were 22 Q. We've discussed, you know, the request for 22 9 that we might some day need. Therefore, they were not 23 admissions and the interrogatories. Correct? obsolete programs. So we needed to replace them in case 24 24 A. Right. Q. And we went through the answers that you gave 25 they were needed. 25 Page 75 Page 77 on behalf of AutoZone in those. Correct? Q. (BY MR. STONE) Okay. Let me - with that clarification, let me ask the question again. When 2 A Right Q. And you agree that you later learned that you referred to the 19 unused COFF files, you were 3 those were incomplete. Correct? confident that they hadn't been used based on your 4 A. Right. 5 review of those files. Is that correct? 5 Q. And in some cases, they were inaccurate where 6 6 A. That's right. Q. With respect to the 9 COFF files referred to you, for example, denied using a program which you later learned was used, for example, Decompx and Compx. here, we discussed this before, you're not sure, as you 8 8 Correct? 9 sit here today, whether or not they may have been used 9 at some time. Is that correct? 10 A. Right. 10 Q. What I'm talking about now is that this 11 A. That's right 11 Q. Okay. And you had to rewrite them because Exhibit 36 was the document by which you were informing 12 12 they were needed for - well, you had to do something us of this additional information that you'd learned 13 since the previous exhibits that we've discussed. Okav? with them -- I don't want to use the wrong term. But 14 14 you had to do something with them because this type of 15 A. Okav. 15 Q. And what I was asking you is, in order to come program was needed for your stores. Is that right? 16 16 17 up with the information in Exhibit 36, did you go back, MR. STEWART: Object to form. 17 and did you look at the store servers and the servers in A. It might be needed for the stores. In 18 18 AutoZone to discover whether there was additional COFF particular, I keep saying might because of those sort 19

machines?

files that were compiled for OpenServer on Linux

23 have - after the initial release that identified and

A. Again, I'm not sure of the timing. But we

removed the COFF files on the very first round, we did

go back to the stores just to verify that they were a!

19

20

21

22

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

programs.

A. That's right.

Q. (BY MR, STONE) Okay. And these nine

programs, you recompiled for Linux. Is that right?

Q. And are these programs that as we discussed,

you went in and modified the source code so it would

Page 98

1 did the program actually do?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

15

18

A. It converted data formats from a pre-Y2K format, that was in use on the mainframe, to a post-Y2K format that is required by the stores.

Q. And that was — was that something that had to be done every time a program that had that pre-Y2K format was being sent to the stores?

A. The process of creating an image for a new store involves loading that image with programs but also with some of the data that the store is going to need.

So when we decide today's the day to build an image, part of that process involves running some programs on the mainframe that generate data. We move that data to the store image machine. We convert its format along the way. And that way when the computer arrives at the store, it already has some foundation

17 data to go along with the executables.
18 So that program was used basically once every time
19 you would open -- every time we wanted to open a new
20 store.

Q. Okay. So every time you opened a new store.
Then you -- how did you reco -- you say that you recompiled this program. What did you do to recompile this program?

A. I didn't personally recompile it. So I don't

1 will look as if it's been there for a long time.

For other files, that's not the case. We FTPed a copy from -- used a utility called FTP to transfer a file from wherever it was originally on to Vision. And in that case, it would retain the date on which it was copied.

Page 100

Page 101

Q. Okay. Was dexpand x a binary that was created with the SCO developer kit to run on OpenServer?

A. Originally, yes.

Q. By AutoZone?

A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

3

4

6

8

9

17

Q. Okay. Go on, it says AutoZone's IT personnel also discovered that the Spirit server had some OpenServer compiled programs on it because of a recent restoration of the server after it crashed. The Spirit server is — isn't that an OpenServer machine?

A. Spirit was an OpenServer machine. We were having some problems with Spirit. In particular, we knew the disks were going bad. And so our system administrators were preparing a replacement machine. Well, apparently, they didn't prepare it quickly enough. Because one evening, Spirit died. And so we worked

23 through most of the night trying to restore all of

24 Spirit's data on to that replacement machine.

Q. The replacement machine that was being

Page 99

1 know whether there were any code changes necessary. But

I do know it only took a short period of time to

recompile and test. So the changes could not have been extensive.

Q. And as you sit here today, you can testify that the current version of this program does not contain any SCO licensed code?

A. That's right. It was recompiled on the Linux machine for Linux.

Q. And then what did do you? Did you take a previous copy and make a copy and put it on the Spirit machine?

A. Vision.

14 Q. I'm sorry. The Vision machine?

A. (Witness nod affirmatively.)

16 Q. Is that what you did with all the -- anything

17 that you deleted, any program that you deleted?

A. Yes

Q. And would that copy contain the information
about, you know, when the program was deleted and when
it was loaded on the machine?

A. I don't know. For some of the copies of COFF files over to Vision, the system administrators used a utility called CPIO, which may retain the original date on the file. So that when you load it on to Vision, it

1 prepared?

A, Right

Q. Is that what you mean?

A. Right,

Q. And in doing that, you loaded 1130 SCO compiled programs on to the machine? Is that right?

A. Right. We restored everything onto that replacement machine, and that included some SCO binaries.

Q. And what was that replacement machine going tobe used for after that?

12 A. It was going to continue to be used for our 13 software repository

Q. Okay. So this is this revision control system that you had spoken of earlier where you keep your source code? Is that right?

A. That's right.

Q. So had you originally intended to remove the SCO code from that machine and only have AutoZone's home grown codes, so to speak?

A. Right. In an orderly migration, we would have copied the repository, and then we would have copied only the other directories that we needed rather than just a wholesale replacement of everything.

Q. So hased on what you've now done, did you do

25

		T	
	Page 10	4	Page 104
	•	1	,
7		2	
3		3	
1 4		4	Q. Did leep come after that or something?
		5	A. Jeep came after that,
6	•	6	Q. Did you look on Jeep to see if Jeep had any
7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	COFF files on it?
8		8	A. Yes.
9		9	Q. Did you fmd any?
10		10	
11		11	Q. But they would be in that the CDs or that
12	,	12	hard drive that you produced to us. Is that right?
13		13	A. Y <u>@</u> s.
14	•	14	Q. It goes on and says, finally, we've reviewed
15		15	the relevant OpenServer agreements between SCO and
16		16	AutoZone. These agreements are still in place and do
17	•	17	not Include any prohibitions on AutoZone's use of
18	Linux machine after the crash.	18	OpenServer compiled code on Linux machines. Did you
19	A, That's right.	19	have anything to do with that review?
20	Q. But when you did that, you copied these 1130	20	A. I tried to find copies of these agreements.
21	programs on to the machine?	21	But no, I didn't have anything to do with the review.
22	A. Right.	2.2	Q. Did you find any copies of the agreements?
23	Q. When did that occur?	23	A. I did find some documents that I forwarded to
24	A. I'm sorry. I don't remember the date.	24	the attorneys
25	Q. Can you give me the year?	25	Q. Can you tell me the names of the documents?
	Page 103		Page 105
1	A. No. I really can't. I don't know when that	1	Do you remember?
2	was. I believe it was last year, but I really don't	2	A. No. I'm sorry. I don't remember.
3	know.	3	MR. STONE: Okay. This seems like a good
4	Q. So there may be a year that you were using	4	time to break for lunch. Actually, can we stay on for
5	this machine where it had the I130 the 1,130 SCO	5	one second?
6	files on it. Is that right?	6	Q. (BY MR. STONE) I just have one question
7	A. I don't know how long that Linux machine was	7	about something that we had gone over earlier. It's
8	up before we removed these files.	8	on Page 2 of Exhibit 36. It says, In addition to
9	Q. Do you know whether any of these files were	9	the it's in the first paragraph like five lines
10	used during that time period?	10	down - five lines down. In addition to the help
11	A. I don't think any of these files would have	11	utilities, there were four SCO compiled programs
12	been used because they would have been in individuals'	12	that were copied to the Linux machines errantly
13	home directories. And that machine is no longer used as	13	because the programs were not located in the proper
14	the machine that people log into to perform work. So	14	directory. We talked about the fact that that
15	Q. What machine is used as the machine that	15	happened. Correct?
16	people lag in to perform work?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Only a machine called Wrangler and a machine	17	Q. I just wanted to know what these four programs
18	called Jeep for Linux development.	18	were intended to do, if you remember.
19	Q. Sc Jeep and Wrangler are two different	19	A. I'm sorry. I don't remember. I believe they
20	machines?	20	were located in the directory where we usually keep
21	A. (Witness nods affirmatively.)	21	data. But I don't I don't remember what the programs
22	Q. Is this something to do with the Jeep Wrangler	22	were.
23	or just a concidence?	23 24	Q. You don't remember what they were actually intended to do? Is that right?
24	A. Our system administrators find it an amusing	24 25	intended to do? Is that right?
25	policy to name machines after cars.	25	A. That's right.
		(Congress)	