

300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET
SUITE 1201
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

WILLIAM P. CURRAN
STANLEY W. PARRY
SUSAN L. JOHNSON
JOSHUA H. REISMAN
MAREN PARRY

TELEPHONE
(702) 471-7000
FACSIMILE
(702) 471-7070
E-MAIL
curranparry@curranparry.com

April 17, 2006

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Robert C. Jones
United States District Judge
District of Nevada
333 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Re: The SCO Group, Inc. v. AutoZone, Inc. CV-S-04-0237-RCJ-LRL

Dear Judge Jones:

Pursuant to this Court's August 6, 2004 Order, The SCO Group, Inc. ("SCO") respectfully submits this 90-day status report to apprise the Court of events that have transpired since our last update (on January 17, 2006) in certain other actions.

1. The SCO Group, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation, Case No. 2:03CV0294 DAK (D. Utah)

SCO's Disclosure of Material Misused by IBM

On February 13, 2006, in response to SCO's December 22 Disclosure of Material Misused by IBM (which identified 293 technology disclosures made by IBM in violation of SCO's rights), IBM filed a motion to limit SCO's claims related to misused material to the ninety-three disclosures that IBM believes SCO identified with sufficient specificity.

On April 4, IBM submitted its reply brief, supported by the declaration of an expert. On April 10, SCO moved for leave to file its own expert declaration, and IBM filed an opposition brief on April 12. At the hearing on April 14 on the underlying motion to limit SCO's claims, the Court granted SCO leave to file its declaration, ruled that IBM could supplement its papers with a rebuttal expert declaration within ten days, and took the motion under advisement.

Discovery

On February 24, 2006, the Court denied SCO's December 29 motion to compel certain discovery without prejudice to renew the motion after reviewing documents produced by IBM while the motion was pending.

On March 17, 2006, the parties submitted their Stipulation Regarding Discovery. Among other things, they agreed that, with the exception of certain specified depositions, all fact discovery closed on that date; subject to certain mutual representations, there were no more discovery disputes between them; and with certain possible exceptions, they would not bring motions to compel.

The Honorable Robert C. Jones
April 17, 2006
Page 2_

2. The SCO Group, Inc. v. Novell, Inc., Case No. 2:04CV00139 (D. Utah)

On January 31, 2006, based on a stipulation by the parties, the Court granted SCO's motion for leave to file its Second Amended Complaint. SCO filed the complaint on February 3, and Novell filed its Answer and Counterclaims on April 10.

On April 10, Novell also filed a Motion to Stay Claims Raising Issues Subject to Arbitration, contending that SCO's claims are subject to arbitration pursuant to provisions in certain 2002 UnitedLinux agreements among SCO, SuSE Linux (a wholly owned subsidiary of Novell since 2004), and other Linux distributors. On the same date, Novell filed a Request for Arbitration with the ICC International Court of Arbitration in Paris.

On April 10, Novell also filed its Motion for a More Definite Statement of SCO's Unfair Competition Cause of Action.

3. Red Hat, Inc. v. The SCO Group, Inc., Case No. 03-772-SLR (D. Del.)

As Your Honor knows, the Court in the Red Hat case has stayed that action sua sponte. Since our last letter to this Court, the parties in that case have submitted additional 90-day progress reports to the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

CURRAN & PARRY



Stanley W. Parry, Esq.

SWP:lcd

cc: James Pisanelli, Esq. (via hand-delivery)
David S. Stone, Esq. (via facsimile)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

1
2
3 THE SCO GROUP, INC.,
a Delaware corporation.

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.
6 AUTOZONE, INC.,
a Nevada corporation,


7 Defendant.
8

Case Number: CV-S-04-0237-RCJ-(LRL)

9 RECEIPT OF COPY

10 RECEIPT OF COPY of the documents is hereby acknowledged this 17th day of April, 2006:

- 11
12 1. SCO GROUP, INC. FOURTH STATUS LETTER TO JUDGE JONES DATED APRIL 17, 2006.

13
14 
15 James J. Pisanelli, Esq.
16 State Bar No. 4027
17 Nikki L. Wilmer
18 State Bar No. 6562
19 SCHRECK BRIGNONE
20 300 South Fourth Street, Ste. 1200
21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
22 Attorneys for Autozone, Inc.
23
24
25
26
27
28

CURRAN & PERRY

5410-1200

300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
01-22-11, 302888-0001, 11, 20

Message Confirmation Report

APR-17-2006 03:15 PM MON

Fax Number :
Name :

Name/Number : 19732181106
Page : 3
Start Time : APR-17-2006 03:00PM MON
Elapsed Time : 00' 30"
Mode : STD ECM
Results : [O.K]

CURRAN & PARRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MARK OF AMERICA PLAZA
300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET, SUITE 1201
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

WILLIAM F. CURRAN
STANLEY W. PARRY

TELEPHONE:
(702) 471-7000

FACSIMILE:
(702) 471-7070

E-MAIL:
CurranParry@CurranParry.com

SUSAN L. JOHNSON
JOSHUA H. REISMAN
MAREN PARRY

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION - CONFIDENTIAL

DATE: April 17, 2006
TO: David S. Stone, Esq.
Robert A. Magnanin, Esq.
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
FAX: (973) 218-1106
FROM: Stanley W. Parry
NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED : 3 (including cover sheet)

RE: Civil Action No. CV-S-04-0237-RCJLRL; The SCO Group, Inc. v. AutoZone, Inc.

COMMENTS/NOTES:

Attached please find SCO Group, Inc.'s 90-Day Status Report Letter to Judge Jones dated April 17, 2006.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL, INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE US POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.
