

Domestic Uranium Production Report 3rd Quarter 2012

October 2012















	pared by the Uithin the U.S. D			uro
II.	oroval by any of			
in thi	fore should not			

Contacts

This report was prepared by the staff of the Renewables and Uranium Statistics Team, Office of Electricity, Renewables, and Uranium Statistics. Questions about the preparation and content of this report may be directed to InfoNuclearData@eia.gov.

Preface

The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) reports data spanning 1996 through third quarter 2012 on U.S. uranium production activities in this report, *3rd Quarter 2012 Domestic Uranium Production Report*. Data in this report are based on information reported on Form EIA-851A, "Domestic Uranium Production Report (Annual)" and Form EIA-851Q, "Domestic Uranium Production Report (Quarterly)."

Prior editions of this report may be found on the EIA website at http://www.eia.gov/nuclear/reports.cfm.

Definitions for terms used in this report can be found in EIA's Energy Glossary: http://www.eia.gov/tools/glossary/.

Contents

Contactsii	
Prefaceiii	
3rd Quarter 2012	
Tables	
Table 1. Total production of uranium concentrate in the United States, 1996 – 3rd Quarter 2012	2
Table 2. Number of uranium mills and plants producing uranium concentrate in the United States	3
Table 3. U.S. uranium mills by owner, location, capacity, and operating status	4
Table 4. U.S. uranium in-situ-leach plants by owner, location, capacity, and operating status	5
Figures	

3rd Quarter 2012

U.S. production of uranium in the third quarter 2012 was 1,048,018 pounds U_3O_8 , down 1 percent from the previous quarter and up 24 percent from the third quarter 2011. During the third quarter 2012, U.S. uranium was produced at six U.S. uranium facilities.

- U.S. Uranium Mill in Production (State)
 - 1. White Mesa Mill (Utah)
- U.S. Uranium In-Situ-Leach Plants in Production (State)
 - 1. Alta Mesa Project (Texas)
 - 2. Crow Butte Operation (Nebraska)
 - 3. Hobson ISR Plant/La Palangana (Texas)
 - 4. Smith Ranch-Highland Operation (Wyoming)
 - 5. Willow Creek Project (Wyoming)

As of September 2012, U.S. uranium concentrate production totaled 3,187,711 pounds. This amount is 3 percent higher than the 3,098,754 pounds produced during the first nine months of 2011.

Table 1. Total production of uranium concentrate in the United States, 1996-3rd Quarter 2012 pounds U_3O_8

Calendar-Year					Calendar-Year
Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total
1996	1,734,427	1,460,058	1,691,796	1,434,425	6,320,706
1997	1,149,050	1,321,079	1,631,384	1,541,052	5,642,565
1998	1,151,587	1,143,942	1,203,042	1,206,003	4,704,574
1999	1,196,225	1,132,566	1,204,984	1,076,897	4,610,672
2000	1,018,683	983,330	981,948	973,585	3,975,545
2001	709,177	748,298	628,720	553,060	2,639,256
2002	620,952	643,432	579,723	E500,000	E2,344,107
2003	E400,000	E600,000	E400,000	E600,000	E2,000,000
2004	E600,000	E400,000	588,738	E600,000	2,282,406
2005	709,600	630,053	663,068	686,456	2,689,178
2006	931,065	894,268	1,083,808	1,196,485	4,105,626
2007	1,162,737	1,119,536	1,075,460	1,175,845	4,533,578
2008	810,189	1,073,315	980,933	1,037,946	3,902,383
2009	880,036	982,760	956,657	888,905	3,708,358
2010	876,084	1,055,102	1,150,725	1,146,281	4,228,192
2011	1,063,047	1,189,083	846,624	892,013	3,990,767
P2012	1,078,404	1,061,289	1,048,018	NA	

E = Estimated data.

Notes: The reported 4th quarter 2002 production amount was adjusted by rounding to the nearest 100,000 pounds to avoid disclosure of individual company data. This also affects the 2002 annual production. The reported 2003 and 1st, 2nd, and 4th quarter 2004 production amounts were adjusted by rounding to the nearest 200,000 pounds to avoid disclosure of individual company data. The reported 2004 total is the actual production for 2004.

Totals may not equal sum of components because of independent rounding.

P = Preliminary data.

NA = Not available.

^{-- =} Not applicable.

Table 2. Number of uranium mills and plants producing uranium concentrate in the United States

	End																
Uranium Concentrate	of	End of 3rd															
Processing Facilities	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Quarter 2012
Mills - conventional milling ¹	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
Mills - other operations ²	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
In-Situ-Leach Plants ³	5	6	6	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	5	5	6	3	4	5	5
Byproduct Recovery Plants ⁴	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	9	11	9	7	6	4	3	2	3	4	6	6	7	4	5	6	6

¹ Milling uranium-bearing ore.

² Not milling ore, but producing uranium concentrate from other (non-ore) materials.

³ Not including in-situ-leach plants that only produced uranium concentrate from restoration.

⁴ Uranium concentrate as a byproduct from phosphate production.

Table 3. U.S. uranium mills by owner, location, capacity, and operating status

			Milling Capacity		Operating State	us at End of	
		County, State (existing	(short tons of ore		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter
Mill Owner	Mill Name	and planned locations)	per day)	2011	2012	2012	2012
Cotter Corporation	Canon City Mill	Fremont, Colorado	0	Reclamation	Reclamation	Reclamation	Demolished
EFR White Mesa LLC	White Mesa Mill	San Juan, Utah	2,000	Operating	Operating	Operating-	Operating
						Processing	
						Alternate Feed	
Energy Fuels Resources	Piñon Ridge Mill	Montrose, Colorado	500	Permitted And	Permitted And	Partially	Partially
Corporation				Licensed	Licensed	Permitted And	Permitted
						Licensed	And Licensed
Kennecott Uranium	Sweetwater	Sweetwater, Wyoming	3,000	Standby	Standby	Standby	Standby
Company/Wyoming Coal	Uranium Project						
Resource Company							
Uranium One Americas,	Shootaring Canyon	Garfield, Utah	750	Standby	Standby	Standby	Standby
Inc.	Uranium Mill						
Total Milling Capacity:			6,250				

Notes: Milling capacity for 3rd Quarter 2012. An operating status of "Operating" indicates the mill was producing uranium concentrate at the end of the period.

Table 4. U.S. uranium in-situ-leach plants by owner, location, capacity, and operating status

			Production						
		County, State	Capacity	Operating Status at End of					
	In-Situ-Leach Plant	(existing and	(pounds U ₃ O ₈		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter		
In-Situ-Leach Plant Owner	Name	planned locations)	per year)	2011	2012	2012	2012		
Cameco	Crow Butte	Dawes, Nebraska	1,000,000	Operating	Operating	Operating	Operating		
	Operation								
Hydro Resources, Inc.	Church Rock	McKinley, New	1,000,000	Partially	Partially	Partially	Partially		
		Mexico		Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And		
				Licensed	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed		
Hydro Resources, Inc.	Crownpoint	McKinley, New	1,000,000	Partially	Partially	Partially	Partially		
		Mexico		Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And		
				Licensed	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed		
Lost Creek ISR, LLC	Lost Creek Project	Sweetwater,	2,000,000	Partially	Partially	Partially	Partially		
		Wyoming		Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And		
				Licensed	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed		
Mestena Uranium LLC	Alta Mesa Project	Brooks, Texas	1,000,000	Producing	Producing	Producing	Producing		
Power Resources, Inc. dba Cameco	Smith Ranch-	Converse, Wyoming	5,500,000	Operating	Operating	Operating	Operating		
Resources	Highland								
	Operation								
Powertech Uranium Corp	Centennial Project	Weld, Colorado	-	Undeveloped	Undeveloped	Undeveloped	Undeveloped		
Powertech Uranium Corp	Dewey Burdock	Fall River and Custer,	1,000,000	Undeveloped	Undeveloped	Undeveloped	Partially		
	Project	South Dakota					Permitted And		
							Licensed		
South Texas Mining Venture	Hobson ISR Plant	Karnes, Texas	1,000,000	Operating	Operating	Operating	Operating		
South Texas Mining Venture	La Palangana	Duval, Texas	1,000,000	Operating	Operating	Operating	Operating		
Strata Energy Inc. a wholly owned subsidiary of Peninsula Energy Limited	Ross	Crook, Wyoming	-	Developing	Developing	Developing	Developing		


Table 4. U.S. uranium in-situ-leach plants by owner, location, capacity, and operating status (cont.)

				Operating Status at End of						
		County, State	Production Capacity							
	In-Situ-Leach Plant	(existing and	(pounds U₃O ₈ per		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter			
In-Situ-Leach Plant Owner	Name	planned locations)	year)	2011	2012	2012	2012			
URI, Inc.	Kingsville Dome	Kleberg, Texas	1,000,000	Standby	Standby	Standby	Standby			
URI, Inc.	Rosita	Duval, Texas	1,000,000	Standby	Standby	Standby	Standby			
URI, Inc.	Vasquez	Duval, Texas	800,000	Restoration	Restoration	Restoration	Restoration			
Uranerz Energy Corporation	Nichols Ranch ISR	Johnson and	2,000,000	Under	Under	Under	Under			
	Project	Campbell, Wyoming		Construction	Construction	Construction	Construction			
Uranium Energy Corp.	Goliad ISR Uranium	Goliad, Texas	1,000,000	Partially	Partially	Partially	Partially			
	Project			Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And			
				Licensed	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed			
Uranium One Americas, Inc.	Jab and Antelope	Sweetwater,	2,000,000	Developing	Developing	Developing	Developing			
		Wyoming								
Uranium One Americas, Inc.	Moore Ranch	Campbell, Wyoming	500,000	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And	Permitted And			
				Licensed	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed			
Uranium One USA, Inc.	Texas Operations	Duval, Texas	0	Reclaimed	Reclaimed	Reclaimed	Reclaimed			
Uranium One USA, Inc.	Willow Creek Project	Campbell and	1,300,000	Producing	Producing	Producing	Producing			
	(Christensen Ranch and	Johnson, Wyoming								
	Irigaray)									
Total Production Capacity:			24,100,000							

^{- =} No data reported.

Notes: Production capacity for 3rd Quarter 2012. An operating status of "Operating" indicates the in-situ-leach plant usually was producing uranium concentrate at the end of the period. Hobson ISR Plant processed uranium concentrate that came from La Palangana. Hobson and La Palangana are part of the same project. ISR stands for in-situ recovery. Christensen Ranch and Irigaray are part of the Willow Creek Project.

Figure 1. Uranium concentrate production in the United States, 1996 – 3rd Quarter 2012



P = Preliminary data.