Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: https://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/July-2020-Paperless-Listing/Consultation-Paper/cp202007.pdf. Please indicate your preference by ticking the appropriate boxes.

Where	there is	insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
We en	courage	e you to read all of the following questions before responding.
		The second of the second that the
1.	docume electror Media	agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules to require (i) all listing ents in a new listing (" New Listing ") to be published solely in an online nic format and cease printed form listing documents; and (ii) except for Mixed Offers², all New Listing subscriptions, where applicable, to be made through electronic channels only?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	enviro	eral, we support the initiative to go paperless for listing documents as it is an nmentally-friendly approach that aligns with the global ESG trend, and the is given full access to the listing documents that are published online.
	IPO iss Bank of which	ess listing process will enhance market efficiency and is cost effective for both suers and receiving banks. Moreover, it is environmentally-friendly. This year, of China (Hong Kong) Limited acted as the receiving bank for two projects adopted a fully electronic subscription process for Hong Kong public offer e. From our experience, those projects went smoothly with positive market on.
2.	amend	onsequence of our proposal in Question 1, do you agree with our proposal to the Listing Rules to remove the requirement for listed issuers to make available al copies of listing documents to the public at the address(es) set out in a formal
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No

¹ "New Listing" refers to an application for listing of equities (including stapled securities and depositary receipts), debt securities and collective investment schemes ("CIS") on the Exchange by a new applicant where a listing document is required under the Listing Rules but excludes a Mixed Media Offer. For the purpose of the Consultation Paper, debt securities refer to debt securities (including debt issuance programmes) listed pursuant to chapters 22 to 36 of Main Board Listing Rules and chapters 26 to 29, 32 to 35 of GEM Listing Rules.

² "Mixed Media Offer" refers to an offer process whereby an issuer or a CIS offeror can distribute paper application forms for public offers of certain securities without a printed prospectus, so long as the prospectus is available on the HKEX website and the website of the issuer/CIS offeror and it makes printed prospectuses publicly available free of charge upon request at specified locations (which do not have to be the same locations as where the printed application forms are distributed).

Please give reasons for your views.

In general, we support the initiative to go paperless for listing documents as it is an environmentally-friendly approach that aligns with the global ESG trend, and the public is given full access to the listing documents that are published online.

3.	both the	agree with our proposal to require issuers to only post documents ³ online on e Exchange's e-Publication System and the issuer's website ("Online Display ents") and to remove the requirement for their physical display?			
		Yes			
		No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	We agr	ree with the proposal as the documents will be made more widely and easily accessible for public inspection, which is the policy intention of HKEx's proposal.			
	We not	te from HKEx's proposal that listed issuers are required to manually remove the documents from HKEx's website when the relevant time period for their display set out in the Listing Rules has expired. It seems unclear as to whether such documents will become "unsearchable" on the search engines (e.g. Google) after the manual removal by listed issuers. Further guidance on this technical issue is needed.			
	Also, v	we are of the view that it should not be made a mandatory requirement for the documents to be in text-searchable format as some of the documents might only be available in image-based PDF format that is created through scanning.			
4.	period4	agree that Online Display Documents should be displayed online for a specified except for those documents that are required by the Listing Rules to be made le on an ongoing basis?			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
	1.2	No			
	Please	give reasons for your views.			
	websit Rules,	ree that the documents are to be published on both HKEx's and listed issuer's es, for the same period of time as they are currently required under the Listing to facilitate online viewing by shareholders, potential investors, other olders and members of the public.			
5.	Do you Docum	agree that the Exchange should continue to allow redaction of Online Display nents in only very limited circumstances?			
		Yes			

³ Such documents are listed in Appendix I to the Consultation Paper, save for the changes proposed in respect of notifiable transactions and connected transactions as set out in Section G of the Consultation Paper.

⁴ The time frames are set out in Appendix 1 to the Consultation Paper.

	No		
Please give reasons for your views.			
only v	ree that HKEx should continue to allow redaction of documents on display in ery limited circumstances. The proposed change in display channel does not a need to change the approach to redaction.		

6.	Do you agree that the current definition of "material contract" remains lit for purpose and that the Exchange should continue to apply it under our proposals?	
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	with o	current definition of "material contract" has long been adopted and is aligned ther legal and regulatory requirements, we agree that HKEx should continue to it under the proposals.
7.	Do you Online	agree that restrictions should not be placed on downloading and/or printing Display Documents?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Please	give reasons for your views.
	not fo	ntly, the documents physically on display are for public inspection on the spot, retention for future reference. The proposed change in display channel should igger a change to the manner in which the documents are to be handled by sers of the public.
	transa Listin case o	sted issuers, shareholders are able to obtain necessary information regarding the ctions as disclosed in the relevant announcements and circulars pursuant to the g Rules in order to make informed decisions. Similarly, potential investors in the of New Listing are also able to obtain necessary information regarding the new cant as disclosed in the listing document.
	down	ch, it is considered necessary for appropriate restrictions to be imposed on both loading and printing documents that are published online for a fixed time period cified in the Listing Rules for the purpose of inspection only by members of the c.
8.	Do you	u agree with our proposal not to put in place a system that would enable issuers ord and verify the identity of a person who accesses Online Display Documents?
	\boxtimes	Yes
	d = ::: ::: 1	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.

We agree with HKEx's proposal not to put in place a system that would enable issuers to record and verify the identity of a person who accesses documents on display online as the documents are intended for public inspection.

9.	In re	espect of a relevant notifiable transaction⁵, do you agree with our proposal to:		
	i)	require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and		
	ii)	remove the requirement to display all material contracts entered into by the issuer within the last two years before the issue of the circular?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Plea	ase give reasons for your views.		
	We	agree with HKEx's proposal to only require documents that are directly related to the notifiable transactions to be displayed online as those documents are intended to provide additional information to that set out in the circulars to facilitate shareholders' assessment of the transactions and the transparency of the transactions is enhanced.		
	As	explained above, further guidance on several technical / operational issues (e.g. whether the documents will become "unsearchable" on the search engines (e.g. Google) after the manual removal by listed issuers; whether it will be made a mandatory requirement for the documents to be in text-searchable format; whether appropriate restrictions will be imposed on both downloading and printing documents that are published online for the purpose of inspection only by members of the public) should be provided before the new requirements regarding online display of documents are put into effect.		
10.	In respect of a connected transaction that is subject to the shareholders' approval requirement, do you agree with our proposal to:			
	i)	require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and		
	ii)	remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in the circular and directors' service contracts ⁶ ?		
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Ple	ease give reasons for your views.		

⁵ A relevant notifiable transaction refers to a major transaction, a very substantial disposal or a very substantial acquisition as defined in the Consultation Paper.

⁶ Excluding contracts that are expiring or determinable by the employer within one year without payment of compensation (other than statutory compensation).

We agree with HKEx's proposal to only require documents that are directly related to the connected transactions to be displayed online as those documents are intended to provide additional information to that set out in the circulars to facilitate shareholders' assessment of the transactions and the transparency of the transactions is enhanced.

As explained above, further guidance on several technical / operational issues should be provided before the new requirements regarding online display of documents are put into effect.

- End -